

OCT 0 8 2004

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

X63



Technology Center 2600

P. Bedrosian 7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Patent Application

Applicant(s): P. Bedrosian

Case:

Serial No.:

09/332,726

Filing Date:

June 14, 1999

Group:

2663

Examiner:

Keith M. George

Title:

Method and Apparatus for Synchronization of

High-Bit-Rate Digital Subscriber Line Signals

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is the following document relating to the above-identified patent application:

(1) Response to Office Action.

There is no additional fee due in conjunction with the response. In the event of any nonpayment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or to credit Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Account No. 50-0762 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 28, 2004

Robert W. Griffith

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 48,956

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-4547



RECEIVED

OCT 0 8 2004

Technology Center 2600

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents,

P. Bedrosian 7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): P. Bedrosian

Case:

Serial No.:

09/332,726 June 14, 1999

Filing Date: Group:

2663

Examiner:

Keith M. George

Title:

Method and Apparatus for Synchronization of

High-Bit-Rate Digital Subscriber Line Signals

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 28, 2004 in the above-identified application, please consider the remarks below:

REMARKS

The present application was filed on June 14, 1999 with claims 1-22. Claims 1-22 remain pending. Claims 1, 9 and 17 are the pending independent claims.

In the outstanding Office Action dated June 28, 2004, the Examiner: (i) rejected claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9-11, 13, 14, 17-19, 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,864,592 (hereinafter "Itri"); (ii) rejected claims 4, 12 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Itri in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,068,877 (hereinafter "Near"); and (iii) rejected claims 7, 8, 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Itri in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,638,379 (hereinafter "Narasimha").

In this response, Applicant traverses the §103(a) rejections. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the following remarks.